

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

ANDREW PROKOS,

Plaintiff,

- against -

ALLBRITE INTERIORS INC., and DOES 1-10,

Defendants.

Case No.: 18-cv-6399

PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT FOR  
(1) COPYRIGHT  
INFRINGEMENT; AND (2)  
VIOLATION OF THE DIGITAL  
MILLENNIUM COPYRIGHT ACT  
(17 U.S.C. §1202)

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Plaintiff Andrew Prokos ("Plaintiff"), by and through his undersigned attorneys, hereby prays for relief based on the following:

**JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

1. This action arises under the Copyright Act of 1976, Title 17 U.S.C., § 101 *et seq.*
2. This Court has federal question jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 1338 (a) and (b).
3. Venue in this judicial district is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(c) and 1400(a) in that Defendant Allbrite Interiors Inc.'s principal place of business is in this district, and this is the judicial district in which a substantial part of the acts and omissions giving rise to the claims occurred.

**PARTIES**

4. Plaintiff Andrew Prokos is a renowned professional photographer, with his principal place of business in New York, New York, and is doing business within the state of New York.
5. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendant Allbrite Interiors Inc. ("Defendant") is a Corporation operating under the laws of New York with a place of

business in Nassau County, New York. Defendant advertises its business within the City of New York and claims that it specializes in providing contracting services in Brooklyn and Queens.

6. Defendants DOES 1-10, inclusive, are other parties not yet identified who have infringed Plaintiff's copyrights, have contributed to the infringement of Plaintiff's copyrights, or have engaged in one or more of the wrongful practices alleged herein. The true names, whether corporate, individual or otherwise, of Defendants 1 through 10, inclusive, are presently unknown to Plaintiff, who therefore sues said Defendants by such fictitious names, and will seek leave to amend this Complaint to show their true names and capacities when same have been ascertained.

7. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that at all times relevant hereto each of the Defendants was the agent, affiliate, officer, director, manager, principal, alter-ego, and/or employee of the remaining Defendants and was at all times acting within the scope of such agency, affiliation, alter-ego relationship and/or employment; and actively participated in or subsequently ratified and adopted, or both, each and all of the acts or conduct alleged, with full knowledge of all the facts and circumstances, including, but not limited to, full knowledge of each and every violation of Plaintiff's rights and the damages to Plaintiff proximately caused thereby.

#### **CLAIMS RELATED TO PLAINTIFF'S PHOTOGRAPH**

8. Plaintiff is a renowned professional artist who earns his livelihood through the sale and licensing of his photographs and photography services.

9. Plaintiff created a photograph of Harlem in 2013. This photograph (the "Subject Photograph") is the subject of this dispute. The title of the photograph is "HARLEM-0446-1000PX.jpeg." The photograph is an original photograph composed by Mr. Prokos. Plaintiff is

the owner of the photograph, which was registered with the United States Copyright Office before the infringement at issue. A true and correct copy of the photograph is reproduced below:



10. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendants operate a website at [www.allbriteinteriors.com](http://www.allbriteinteriors.com) (the “Website”), where they advertise for business.

11. Plaintiff’s investigation revealed that Defendants, and each of them, were displaying Plaintiff’s Subject Photograph (“Infringing Photograph”) on the Website without Plaintiff’s authorization. Defendants used the Infringing Photograph to market and advertise Defendants’ own businesses.

12. Defendants do not and never had authorization to use the Subject Photograph.

13. A true and correct screenshot of Defendants' infringing use of the Subject Photograph on their website is reproduced below:

"Infringing Photograph";



### **FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

(For Copyright Infringement – Against all Defendants, and Each)

14. Plaintiff repeats, re-alleges, and incorporates herein by reference as though fully set forth, the allegations contained in the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint.

15. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendants, and each of them, had access to the Subject Photograph, including, without limitation, through viewing the Subject Photograph on the World Wide Web.

16. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendants, and each of them, used and displayed the Subject Photograph on the Website.

17. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that the Infringing Photograph used by Defendants and shown above, uses the same elements, composition, colors, arrangement, subject, lighting, angle, and overall appearance of the Plaintiff's Subject Photograph and is identical or at least substantially similar to Plaintiff's Subject Photograph.

18. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendants, and each of them, infringed Plaintiff's copyright by copying the Subject Photograph and publishing and displaying the Infringing Photograph to the public, including without limitation, on the Website without Plaintiff's authorization or consent.

19. Due to Defendants', and each of their, acts of infringement, Plaintiff has suffered general and special damages in an amount to be established at trial.

20. Due to Defendants' acts of copyright infringement as alleged herein, Defendants, and each of them, have obtained direct and indirect profits they would not otherwise have realized but for their infringement of Plaintiff's rights in the Subject Photograph. As such, Plaintiff is entitled to disgorgement of Defendants' profits directly and indirectly attributable to Defendants' infringement of his rights in the Subject Photograph in an amount to be established at trial.

21. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendants, and each of them, have committed acts of copyright infringement, as alleged above, which were willful, intentional and malicious, which further subjects Defendants, and each of them, to liability for statutory damages under Section 504(c)(2) of the Copyright Act in the sum of up to one hundred fifty thousand dollars (\$150,000.00) per infringement. Within the time permitted by law, Plaintiff will make his election between actual damages and statutory damages.

**SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

(For Violation of the Digital Millennium Copyright Act (17 U.S.C. §1202)

22. Plaintiff repeats, re-alleges, and incorporates herein by reference as though fully set forth, the allegations contained in the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint.

23. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendants, and each of them, violated 17 U.S.C. §1202 by intentionally removing and/or altering the copyright management information, in the form of information identifying the author and owner of the copyrighted work on the copy the Subject Photograph, and distributing copyrighted works with knowledge that the copyright management information had been removed or altered without authority of the copyright owner or the law, and distributing and publicly displaying the material, knowing that copyright management information had been removed or altered without authority of the copyright owner or the law, and knowing, or, with respect to civil remedies under section 1203, having reasonable grounds to know, that the conduct would induce, enable, facilitate, or conceal an infringement of any right under this title.

24. The above conduct is in violation of the Digital Millennium Copyright Act and exposes Defendants, and each of them, to additional and enhanced common law and statutory damages and penalties pursuant to 17 USC § 1203 and other applicable law.

25. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendants, and each of their, conduct as alleged herein was willful, reckless, and/or with knowledge, and Plaintiff resultantly seeks enhanced damage and penalties.

**PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

Wherefore, Plaintiff prays for judgment as follows:

**Against all Defendants, and Each:**

With Respect to Each Claim for Relief:

- a. That Defendants, as well as its employees, agents, or anyone acting in concert with it, be enjoined from infringing Plaintiff's copyright in the Subject Photograph, including, without limitation, an order requiring Defendant to remove the Infringing Photograph from any print, web, or other publication owned, operated or controlled by any Defendant.
- b. That Plaintiff be awarded all profits of Defendants, and each of them, plus all losses of Plaintiff, plus any other monetary advantage gained by the Defendant, through its infringement, the exact sum to be proven at the time of trial, and, to the extent available, statutory damages as available under the 17 U.S.C. § 504, 17 U.S.C. §1203, and other applicable law.
- c. That Plaintiff be awarded its attorneys' fees as available under the Copyright Act U.S.C. § 101 et seq.;
- d. That Plaintiff be awarded its costs and fees under the statutes set forth above;
- e. That Plaintiff be awarded statutory damages and/or penalties under the statutes set forth above;
- f. That Plaintiff be awarded pre-judgment interest as allowed by law;
- g. That Plaintiff be awarded the costs of this action; and
- h. That Plaintiff be awarded such further legal and equitable relief as the Court deems proper.

Plaintiff demands a jury trial on all issues so triable pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 38 and the 7<sup>th</sup> Amendment to the United States Constitution.

Dated: November 9, 2018

Respectfully submitted:

By: /S/ Michael D. Steger

Michael D. Steger  
Law Offices of Michael D. Steger, PC  
30 Ramland Road, Suite 201  
Orangeburg, NY 10962  
845-359-4600  
(845) 689-2155 (fax)  
msteger@steger-law.com  
Attorney for Plaintiff